

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 41556/04026 (RSI1P038) 8187 George Harry Hoffman 03/23/2001 09/815,727 **EXAMINER** 06/28/2004 22428 7590 GORT, ELAINE L **FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007 3627

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				CX	
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	71	
W		09/815,727	HOFFMAN ET AL.	HOFFMAN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Elaine Gort	3627		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress	
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by say reply received by the Office later than three months after the remainded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.	
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 March 2004.			
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und			merits is	
Disposi	ition of Claims				
4) ×	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13-18</u> is		ration.		
5)[_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are rejected.				
7)[_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)∑	The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT0	D-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
, —	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for for for for a claim for for for for a claim for for for for a claim for for a claim for for for a claim f	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur		Application No		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/7/01; 10/25/02.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: IDS: 1/23/03; 3/29/04.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 7-12 in Paper No. 3/29/04 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-6 and 13-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 12.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 7-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over all the claims filed in the following Applications because they are not patentably distinct:

09/815559

09/815590

09/815660

09/815688

09/815729

09/815731

09/815734

09/815759

09/815792

09/815813

09/815864

09/815894

09/815899

09/816033 09/816075

09/816083

09/816092

09/816151

09/816160

09/816167

Art Unit: 3627

09/816203 09/816212 09/816285 09/816331 09/816357 09/816358 09/816388 09/816412 09/816420 09/816429 09/816431 09/816434 09/816454 09/816455 09/816495 09/816503 09/816507 09/816536 09/816555 09/816560 09/816561 09/816567 09/816582 09/816881 09/816922 09/816944

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 3627

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 7-12 are rejected because they lack patentable utility. Claims 7-12 merely claim the manipulation of data ("logic for") but perform no concrete, useful or tangible result. One example of how this rejection may be overcome by claiming the generation of a report or output of data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3627

6. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the data for ordering sporting goods" in line 6.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 7 line 9 it is unclear what is being claimed relating to "the data". It is unclear as the term "data" is used in lines 3, 4, and 6 and it is unclear if this data is all referring to the same data.

In claim 7 line 11 it is unclear what is being claimed relating to "the data". It is unclear as the term "data" is used in lines 3, 4, 6 and 9 and it is unclear if this data is all referring to the same data.

In claim 7 line 13 it is unclear what is being claimed relating to "the data". It is unclear as the term data is used in lines 3, 4, 6 and 11 and it is unclear if this data is all referring to the same data.

In claims 8, 9, 10 and 12 it is also are unclear what is being claimed regarding to "the data" as claim 7 refers to data in lines 3, 4, 6, and 11 and it is unclear if this data is all referring to the same data or two types of data.

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 7, 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shavit et al. (US Patent 4,799,156).

Shavit et al discloses the claimed system for managing a sporting goods supply chain utilizing a network, comprising:

Logic for receiving data from a plurality of sporting goods outlets of a sporting goods supply chain utilizing a network (see figure 2 which shows buyers in communication with a networked supply chain system that is capable of receiving data from a sporting goods outlet of a sporting goods supply chain, for example the buyer/sporting goods outlet enters information in a RFQ to obtain a quote/bid such as for sporting goods disclosed in column 13, lines 10+), the data relating to the sale of sporting goods by the sporting goods outlets (column 6, line 21 discusses the system being used for "goods" which by definition incorporates sporting goods);

Logic for generating an electronic order form based on the data for ordering sporting goods from a sporting goods distributor of the sporting goods supply chain (such as when the bid is converted to an order, column 13, lines 56+);

Art Unit: 3627

Logic for transmitting the data to the sporting goods distributor of the sporting goods supply chain utilizing the network (data from the buyer is transmitted to the distributor to get a RFQ and also to place the order, see figure 2, column 13 lines 10+ and lines 51+);

Logic for transmitting the data to a sporting goods supplier of the sporting goods supply chain utilizing the network (see figure 2 and column 11, line 15+ regarding sellers (distributors) communicating concurrently with suppliers);

Logic for forecasting activity in the sporting goods supply chain utilizing the data (column 7, line 19, Examiner contends that the forecasting disclosed would inherently be used to forecast activity within the supply chain utilizing data relating to the sale of goods, for example a buyer, distributor and/or supplier may forecast future needs and demand by evaluating trends in the data relating to the sale of goods);

(Regarding claim 9) Where the data is accessible to the sporting goods outlets, the sporting goods distributor, the sporting goods supplier via a network-based interface (such as shown in figure 2 and column 5, lines 50+ discloses public networks);

(Regarding claim 10) Where the data is accessible to the sporting goods distributor and the sporting goods supplier only after verification of an identity thereof (user id and passwords are used to identify users to validate access, column 9 lines 43-65 and column 10 lines 1-15); and

(Regarding claim 12) Where the sporting goods outlets, the sporting goods distributor, and the sporting goods supplier each forecast utilizing the data (column 7,

Art Unit: 3627

lines 15+ discloses where the system is capable of providing users with forecasting capabilities).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shavit et al. (US Patent 4,799,156) in view of Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary.

(Regarding claim 8) Shavit et al. discloses the claimed system but is silent regarding how the data is specifically handled within the system and processed among the parties and thus is silent regarding parsing of the data. Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, discloses that it is known in the art to parse data to break input into smaller chunks so that a program can act upon the information (see definition of "parse" on page 355). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of Shavit et al. with the parsing of data as taught by Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, in order to break the data relating to sales into smaller chunks so that the system can act upon the information in sending it to distributors, suppliers, brokers, etc...

(Regarding claim 11) Shavit et al. discloses the claimed system but is silent regarding the use of the Internet. Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary discloses that it

Art Unit: 3627

is known in the art to use the Internet for high-speed reliable communications (see definition of "Internet" on page 258). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of Shavit et al. with Internet access as taught by Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, in order to provide users with high-speed reliable communications.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Elaine Gort

Examiner

3627

June 18, 2004